

RESEARCH

Open Access



Reducing health care-associated infections by implementing separated environmental cleaning management measures by using disposable wipes of four colors

Swee Siang Wong^{1,4}, Cheng Hua Huang^{1,3}, Chiu Chu Yang², Yi Pei Hsieh², Chen Ni Kuo², Yi Ru Chen² and Li Ching Chen^{1,3,5*}

Abstract

Background: Environmental cleaning is a fundamental principle of infection control in health care settings. We determined whether implementing separated environmental cleaning management measures in MICU reduced the density of HAI.

Methods: We performed a 4-month prospective cohort intervention study between August and December 2013, at the MICU of Cathay General hospital. We arranged a training program for all the cleaning staff regarding separated environmental cleaning management measures by using disposable wipes of four colors to clean the patients' bedside areas, areas at a high risk of contamination, paperwork areas, and public areas. Fifteen high-touch surfaces were selected for cleanliness evaluation by using the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) bioluminescence test. Then data regarding HAI densities in the MICU were collected during the baseline, intervention, and late periods.

Results: A total of 120 ATP readings were obtained. The total number of clean high-touch surfaces increased from 13% to 53%, whereas that of unclean high-touch surface decreased from 47% to 20%. The densities of HAI were 14.32‰ and 14.90‰ during the baseline and intervention periods, respectively. The HAI density did not decrease after the intervention period, but it decreased to 9.07‰ during the late period.

Conclusion: Implementing separated environmental cleaning management measures by using disposable wipes of four colors effectively improves cleanliness in MICU environments. However, no decrease in HAI density was observed within the study period. Considering that achieving high levels of hand-hygiene adherence is difficult, improving environmental cleaning is a crucial adjunctive measure for reducing the incidence of HAIs.

Keywords: Separated environmental cleaning management, Disposable wipes, High-touch surface, ATP bioluminescence test, Healthcare-associated infection

Background

Environmental particulate matter may consist of human skin and hair; thus, it serves as a vehicle for transporting dust particles and microbes and enables them to settle near the patients' bedside areas. This particulate matter plays a crucial role in the transmission of dangerous pathogens, including *Clostridium difficile*, and antibiotic-resistant organisms, such

as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, because health care workers often do not practice appropriate hand hygiene [1–6]. Amy J. Ray et al. demonstrated that contact with contaminated environmental surfaces may have resulted in frequent transfer of VRE onto gloved hands. Contaminated gloves may be a major source of VRE transmission because VRE-colonized patients frequently remain unidentified [1]. Hence, environmental cleanliness is a crucial for reducing contamination burdens.

* Correspondence: jaa9@cgh.org.tw

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

³Division of Infection Disease, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



In recent years, several modalities for assessing environmental cleanliness, such as aerobic colony counts, the use of invisible fluorescent markers that are placed on high-touch room surfaces before cleaning and can be detected using UV-light after cleaning, bioluminescence-based adenosine triphosphate (ATP) technologies, and genomic and polymerase chain reaction-based technologies, have been introduced. However, no consensus exists regarding the benchmark for cleanliness although several studies [7–11] have reported different methods for improving environmental cleanliness for reducing the transmission of nosocomial pathogens. Furthermore, no standard methods are available for assessing the effectiveness of environmental cleaning and disinfection activities. Despite improvements and continuous efforts to optimize isolation practices and increase levels of hand hygiene, the prevalence of infection continues to increase due to the growing resistance of nosocomial pathogens [12].

From 2010 to 2012, in our medical intensive care unit (MICU), the density of health care-associated infection (HAI) increased from 10.4‰ to 17.0‰ (unpublished data). We sought to determine whether environmental cleanliness could be improved by using disposable wipes of four colors to implement separated environmental cleaning management measures. A bioluminescence-based adenosine triphosphate (ATP) modality was used to evaluate the level of cleanliness. This strategy was hypothesized to reduce the density of HAI in the MICU.

Methods

We performed a 4-month prospective cohort intervention study, between August and December 2013, in the 13-bed MICU of Cathay General hospital, a >700-bed tertiary care teaching hospital in Taiwan. During the pre-intervention phase, we observed that the MICU's cleaning staff used traditional reusable wipes soaked with a 0.05%–0.5% sodium hypochlorite solution as a chemical disinfectant for cleaning fixed room surfaces as well as mobile devices, surface of monitors, and bed rails. The isolation room was cleaned last. After completing routine daily environmental cleaning, the wipes were washed with detergent and water to remove the remaining sodium hypochlorite solution, dried, and stored. These wipes were not changed routinely; they were only discarded if they were damaged. Separated environmental cleaning management measures were rarely implemented because the sequence in which surfaces were to be cleaned was difficult to memorize. The cleaning staff could not remember exactly which wipes had been used to perform terminal cleaning in empty rooms; the waste room; the isolation room, which contains multiple-drug-resistant organisms; or the nursing station. A visual inspection method was used for evaluating

the cleanliness of the wiped surfaces. This method only indicated whether foreign material (e.g. dust and soil) and organic material (e.g. blood, secretions, excreta, and microorganisms) were visible.

After 1 month of the pre-intervention phase, we conducted a training program for all the cleaning staff and related personnel regarding the implementation of separation management measures during routine daily environmental cleaning and terminal cleaning by using disposable wipes of four different colors to clean patient's bedside areas, high-risk contamination areas, paperwork areas, and public areas. Red wipes were used to clean areas at a high risk of contamination, namely toilets, isolation rooms, and waste rooms; these wipes were discarded daily. Yellow wipes were used to clean the patients' bedside areas and were discarded after every terminal cleaning. Green wipes were used to clean paperwork areas such as the nursing station and meeting room. Blue wipes were used to clean public areas. The blue and green wipes were discarded every 3 days. Fifteen high-touch surfaces (toilet doorknobs, bedrails, bedside tables, bedside electrocardiogram monitors, bedside flow meters, nursing stations, meeting rooms, procedure tables, telephones, refrigerator handles, and water dispenser buttons) were selected to conduct the ATP bioluminescence test for evaluating their cleanliness. The 15 high-touch surfaces were sampled before and after cleaning. The efficiency of cleaning of the 15 high-touch surfaces was evaluated by using ATP bioluminescence test results. The cleaning staff was informed in advance regarding when ATP measurements would be made before cleaning and after cleaning. An ATP bioluminescence value < 100 was defined as pass (clean) and a value > 250 was defined as fail (unclean), 101–250 was defined as caution (needs enhanced cleaning).

Data on the HAI density of the MICU were collected during the three periods, namely the baseline (May–August 2013), intervention (September–December 2013), and late (January–April 2014) periods. Other variables including admissions to MICU, patient-day, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score, monthly occupancy of the MICU, and length of MICU stay were also measured. The cleaning staff continued to implement separated environmental cleaning management methods by using different colored wipes for routine environmental cleaning and terminal cleaning, and the staff was informed in advance when no ATP measurements would be made before and after cleaning at the late period. The hospital's Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were analyzed using the χ^2 statistic and one-way analysis of variance. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result

A total of 120 ATP readings were obtained before and after cleaning in the MICU. The ATP readings were expressed as relative light units. The results revealed that meeting rooms and procedure tables were not significantly cleaner after cleaning than before cleaning (Table 1). The median number of relative light units was significantly lower (i.e. surfaces were cleaner) after cleaning than before cleaning for all 15 high-touch surfaces (Table 1). Within the study period, the total number of high-touch surfaces that were clean increased from 13% to 53%, whereas the total number of high-touch surfaces that were unclean decreased from 47% to 20% (Fig. 1). The results showed that the use of disposable wipes of different colors as well as that of the correct wiping methods or wiping processes could improve environmental cleanliness.

A total of 635 admissions to the MICU were studied. Mean monthly occupancies in the MICU ranged from 96% to 98.8% patients per month. The mean duration of stay ranged from 6.1 to 8.4 days. The densities of HAI during the baseline (May–August 2013), intervention (September–December 2014), and late (January–April 2014) periods were 14.32‰, 14.90‰, and 9.07‰, respectively. No significant differences ($p = 0.33$) were

observed among the HAI densities during the three periods (Table 2).

Discussion

To our knowledge, nosocomial pathogens may be acquired exogenously or may be present among the indigenous microflora of patients at admission [13–16]. Small numbers of these pathogens are either intermittently ingested or released into the environment by the hands of health care workers, who exhibit low levels of compliance with recommended hand hygiene practices. Donskey suggests that reducing the burden of pathogens present on patients' skin and on environmental surfaces might potentially reduce transmission by reducing the number of microorganisms transferred to the hands of health care workers, thus reducing the transmission of pathogens from environmental surfaces to the patients' skin [12].

Several studies [5–10, 17] have found that reducing environmental contamination may facilitate the control of the spread of some antibiotic-resistant bacteria in hospitals. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee have made numerous recommendations for environmental infection control in health care facilities [18].

Table 1 ATP bioluminescence in relative light units

High-touch surfaces	ATP bioluminescence value							
	before wipe	after wipe	before wipe	after wipe	before wipe	after wipe	before wipe	after wipe
	week 0	week 0	week 4	week 4	week 8	week 8	week 16	week 16
doorknob (outer)	72	157	53	48	52	151	232	39
doorknob (inner)	129	121	58	79	1376	159	142	425
bed rail	377	191	229	122	250	71	68	45
bedside table	33	46	234	110	1432	31	77	15
bedside electrocardiogram monitor	153	126	68	126	18	10	41	23
bedside flow meter	198	249	672	415	82	82	323	88
nursing station	244	383	241	538	236	53	304	200
meeting room table	1927	160	2822	506	1228	995	780	122
procedure table 1	521	174	8663	327	633	948	1435	1734
procedure table 2	2203	544	3796	2059	2389	885	9282	914
refrigerator handle 1	480	102	234	168	115	319	615	84
refrigerator handle 2	301	136	303	77	48	56	86	78
water dispenser buttons	333	200	271	358	132	227	100	201
telephone at procedure table 1	81	231	201	201	172	106	114	34
telephone at procedure table 2	203	7	160	301	78	44	129	193

ATP bioluminescence value < 100 is defined as pass

ATP bioluminescence value 101–250 is defined as caution

ATP bioluminescence value > 250 is defined as fail

表2 实施日常环境清洁措施后的HAI数据

Variables	基准期 (2013年5月至8月)	干预期 (2013年9月至12月)	干预后期 (2014年1月至4月)	p值
Admissions to MICU (number)	200	250	185	
patient-days	1536	1544	1544	0.972
Duration of MICU stay (mean days)	7.7	6.1	8.4	0.02
Monthly occupancy in MICU (%)	96	97.4	98.8	0.147
APACHE II score, mean	15.4	15.8	16.8	0.278
Patients with HAI	22	23	14	0.51
UTI	6	7	2	0.391
Pneumonia	2	2	4	0.418
SSI	1	0	0	N/A
BSI	9	12	7	0.875
others	4	2	1	0.329
Infection density	14.32	14.90	9.07	0.327

泌尿系感染、肺炎、SSI手术部位感染、BSI血流感染、APACHE II 急性生理与慢性健康评分

disposable wipes of different colors; this may have provided better environmental cleanliness than that obtained with reusable wipes.

However, we did not observe a decrease in the HAI density during the intervention period. Hence, only 50% of the total number of high-touch surfaces being clean is not sufficient for reducing the bioburden on health care workers' hands, which transmit microorganisms from environmental surfaces to the patients' skin, particularly if the workers exhibit low adherence to hand hygiene protocols. Moreover, the educational intervention period (4 months) may not have been sufficiently long to improve environmental cleanliness significantly. Our study documented a widespread deficiency in a fundamental aspect of infection prevention. We must use an objective cleanliness monitoring system, conduct educational and administrative interventions for cleaning staff, and provide continuous performance feedback to the cleaning staff to improve environmental cleanliness.

Our study has several limitations. This study is based on data from a single unit in a single hospital. We did not culture multidrug resistant organisms, VRE, MRSA, or *C. difficile* before cleaning and after cleaning as marker organisms to evaluate the effectiveness of cleaning and disinfecting activities. Determining the significance of environmental contamination and proving its involvement in cross-acquisition of HAI in health care settings is difficult. In addition, we did not evaluate the effects of enhancement of hand hygiene adherence and compliance of health care workers because these factors may play a crucial role in reducing HAI; the selection of these contributors limits the generalization of our findings.

Although we know that improved compliance of hand hygiene may be the most effective means to reduce HAI density, [22–29] the success of hand hygiene improvement requires the cooperation of all health care workers; however, achieving high levels of cooperation in situations with high workloads and high a demand for disinfection, such as intensive care units, is difficult. Hence, improving environmental cleaning is a crucial adjunctive measure to reduce densities of HAI [8].

Conclusion

Implementing separated environmental cleaning management measures by using disposable wipes of four colors effectively improves cleanliness in MICU environments. However, no decrease in HAI density was observed within the study period. Considering that achieving high levels of hand-hygiene adherence is difficult, improving environmental cleaning is a crucial adjunctive measure for reducing the incidence of HAIs.

Acknowledgments

We thank the cleaning staff, nurses, and medical staff of the Medical Intensive Care Unit at Cathay General Hospital, for their cooperation and support. We also

thank the Kimberly-Clark company for lending us their ATP bioluminescence test machine. This manuscript was edited by Wallace Academic Editing.

Funding

This work was supported by Medical Research Department of Cathay General Hospital.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

LC and SS concept and design the study. CC, YP, CN and YR acquisition of the data, SS and CH performed data analysis and interpretation. SS was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

²Department of Infection Control, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

³Division of Infection Disease, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

⁴Division of Medical Intensive Care Unit, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Cathay General Hospital, No.280, Sec. 4, Ren Ai Rd, Da'an Dist, Taipei City 106, Taiwan, Republic of China.

Received: 27 January 2018 Accepted: 11 February 2018

Published online: 07 March 2018

References

1. Perl TM. The threat of vancomycin resistance. *Am J Med.* 1999;106:26S–37S.
2. Ray AJ, Huyen CK, Taub TF, Eckstein EC, Donskey CJ. Nosocomial transmission of vancomycin-resistant enterococci from surface. *JAMA.* 2002;287(11):1400–1.
3. Tenorio AR, Badri SM, Sahgal NB, Hota B, Matushek M, Hayden MK, et al. Effectiveness of gloves in the prevention of hand carriage of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus species by health care workers after patient care. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;32:826–9.
4. Boyce JM, Potter-Bynoe G, Chenevert C, King T. Environmental contamination due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: possible infection control implications. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1997;18(9):622–7.
5. Mayfield JL, Leet T, Miller J, Mundy LM. Environmental control to reduce transmission of *Clostridium difficile*. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2000;31(4):995–1000.
6. Denton M, Wilcox MH, Parnell P, Green D, Keer V, Hawkey PM, et al. Role of environmental cleaning in controlling an outbreak of *Acinetobacter baumannii* on a neurosurgical intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect.* 2004;56(2):106–10.
7. Eckstein BC, Adams DA, Eckstein EC, Rao A, Sethi AK, Yadavalli GK, et al. Reduction of *clostridium difficile* and vancomycin-resistant enterococcus contamination of environmental surfaces after an intervention to improve cleaning methods. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2007;7:61.
8. Hayden MK, Bonten MJ, Blom DW, Lyle EA, van de Vijver DA, Weinstein RA. Reduction in Acquisition of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus after enforcement of routine environmental cleaning measures. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006;42(11):1552–60.
9. Wilcox MH, Fawley WN, Wigglesworth N, Parnell P, Verity P, Freeman J. Comparison of the effect of detergent versus hypochlorite cleaning on environmental contamination and incidence of *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J Hosp Infect.* 2003;54(2):109–14.
10. Carling PC, Briggs JL, Perkins J, Highlander D. Improved cleaning of patient rooms using a new targeted method. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006;42(3):385–8.

11. Boyce JM, Havill NL, Kohan C, Dumigan DG, Ligi CE. Do infection control measures work for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus*? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2004;25(5):395–401.
12. Donskey CJ. The role of the intestinal tract as a reservoir and source for transmission of nosocomial pathogens. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2004;39(2):219–26.
13. Bhalla A, Pultz NJ, Ray AJ, Hoyer CK, Eckstein EC, Donskey CJ. Antianaerobic antibiotic therapy promotes overgrowth of antibiotic-resistant gram-negative bacilli and vancomycin-resistant enterococci in the stool of colonized patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2003;24(9):644–9.
14. Ray AJ, Pultz NJ, Bhalla A, Aron DC, Donskey CJ. Coexistence of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus and *Staphylococcus Aureus* in the intestinal tracts of hospitalized patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003;37(7):875–81.
15. Safdar N, Maki DG. The commonality of risk factors for nosocomial colonization and infection with antimicrobial-resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus*, enterococcus, gram-negative bacilli, *Clostridium Difficile*, and *Candida*. *Ann Intern Med*. 2002;136(11):834–44.
16. Donskey CJ, Ray AJ, Hoyer CK, Fuldauer PD, Aron DC, Salvator A, et al. Co-colonization and infection with multiple nosocomial pathogens in patients colonized with vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2003;24(4):242–5.
17. Hayden MK, Bonten MJ, Blom DW, Lyle EA, van de Vijver DA, Weinstein RA. Reduction in acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus after enforcement of routine environmental cleaning measures. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(11):1552–60.
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Healthcare Infection Control Advisory Committee (HICPAC). Guidelines for environmental infection control in healthcare facilities. *MMWR*. 2003;52:1–44.
19. Ayçicek H, Oguz U, Karci K. Comparison of results of ATP bioluminescence and traditional hygiene swabbing methods for the determination of surface cleanliness at a hospital kitchen. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2006;209(2):203–6.
20. Willis C, Morley R, Westbury J, Greenwood M, Pallett A. Evaluation of ATP bioluminescence swabbing as a monitoring and training tool for effective hospital cleaning. *Br J of Infect Control*. 2007;8(5):17–21.
21. Boyce JM, Havill NL, Dumigan DG, Golebiewski M, Balogun O, Rizvani R. Monitoring the effectiveness of hospital cleaning practices by use of an adenosine triphosphate bioluminescence assay. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2009;30(7):678–84.
22. Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mourouga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, et al. Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene infection control Programme. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9238):1307–12.
23. Grayson ML, Russo PL, Cruickshank M, Bear JL, Gee CA, Hughes CF, et al. Outcomes from the first 2 years of the Australian National Hand Hygiene Initiative. *Med J Aust*. 2011;195(10):615–9.
24. Grayson ML, Jarvie LJ, Martin R, Johnson PD, Jodoin ME, McMullan C, et al. Significant reductions in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus* bacteraemia and clinical isolates associated with a multisite, hand hygiene culture-change program and subsequent successful statewide roll-out. *Med J Aust*. 2008;188(11):633–40.
25. Ho ML, Seto WH, Wong LC, Wong TY. Effectiveness of multifaceted hand hygiene interventions in long-term care facilities in Hong Kong: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2012;33(8):761–7.
26. Chen YC, Sheng WH, Wang JT, Chang SC, Lin HC, Tien KL, et al. Effectiveness and limitations of hand hygiene promotion on decreasing healthcare-associated infections. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(11):e27163.
27. Carboneau C, Bengé E, Jaco MT, Robinson M. A lean six sigma team increases hand hygiene compliance and reduces hospital-acquired MRSA infections by 51%. *J Healthc Qual*. 2010;32(4):61–70.
28. Lederer JW Jr, Best D, Hendrix V. A comprehensive hand hygiene approach to reducing MRSA health care-associated infections. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf*. 2009;35(4):180–5.
29. Al-Tawfiq JA, Abed MS, Al-Yami N, Birrer RB. Promoting and sustaining a hospital-wide, multifaceted hand hygiene program resulted in significant reduction in health care-associated infections. *Am J Infect Control*. 2013;41(6):482–6.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

